Evolution of Brightest Cluster Galaxies Over the Past 7 Billion Years



B. Ascaso¹. B. Lemaux²

¹Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA-CSIC) ²Laboratory d'Astrophysique de Marseille (LAM)

We present a study of the formation and evolution mechanisms of the brightest cluster galaxies (BCGs) over cosmic time. By comparing high-z (z~0.9) massive galaxies in clusters and groups of the CI1604 supercluster with those in local clusters (z~ 0), we noticed striking differences in the morphologies and structural parameters of these galaxies. This sample, coupled with the results of numerical simulations and semi-analytic models, allows us to directly infer the mechanisms that shape and evolve BCGs over the past ~7 Gyrs

INTRODUCTION

In the last years, several works have analyzed the brightest cluster galaxies (BCGs) and the most massive cluster galaxies (MMCGs) in galaxy clusters up to moderate redshift (z~ 0.6, Ascaso et al. 2011; z~ 0.27, Bernardi 2009) or for limited samples (Nelson et al. 2002, Vikram et al. 2010), finding interesting indicators for other evolutionary mechanisms other than major merging. In this work, we consider the BCGs and MMCGs in the z~ 0.9 supercluster CL1604, which contains clusters and groups ranging a wide range in mass, and searched for low-redshift counterpart in the SDSS. We noticed striking differences in their luminosity and mass gaps between the BCG and the next brightest galaxythe MMCG and the next most massive cluster galaxy between both samples. This gap was nonexistent in many of the cases at z~ 0.9, while is really remarkable at low redshift. Additionally, the BCG/MMCGs at high redshift were, in many cases, either late-lype galaxies or were bluer than the red-sequence, in stark contrast to what we observe in the low-redshift SDSS clusters. We are analyzing these samples in order to constrain evolutionary scenarios as a function of cluster mass and redshift. We will complement our observational findings with merical and semi-analytic simulations

al. 2012)

imaging

SDSS sample:



Fig 1a. Two 0 50 100 150 BCGs/MMCGs from the SDSS sample

CLUSTER MASS DISTRIBUTIONS

catalogue (*Piffaretti et al. 2011*) that are contained in the SDSS archive. We selected all low-redshift clusters that had and

Searched for X-ray clusters in the MCMX

comparable (rest-frame) photometry spectroscopic coverage to the supercluster (see right frame). sc1604 The final sample consists of 91 BCGs/

MMCGs

SAMPLE PROPERTIES

fit the color-magnitude relation for both samples as can be seen in Fig 3. and placed the BCG in the color-magnitude diagram

The BCG/MMCG was often off the red sequence for the high-z CL1604 sample, whereas it was included in the red sequence in all cases for the lower-z sample, independently of the cluster or group mass.





Fig 3 (right). Color-Magnitude Relation (CMR) for the CL1604 supercluster. Colored symbols are spectroscopic confirmed galaxies (Lemaux et al. 2012). (left). CMR for the SDSS sample. Red symbols refer to spectroscopic confirmed galaxies whereas blue ones are spectroscopic non-members.

STELLAR MASS RADIAL DISTRIBUTION

13 los(W) [M_]



We compared how concentrated is the barvonic mass around our BCG/ MMCG sample to account of how much mass these galaxies might have accreted since z~0.9. In Fig 4, we show the cumulative stellar mass relation with radius (centered on the BCG) between both samples, separated between groups and clusters.

We compiled galaxy clusters in the SDSS with

enough spectroscopic confirmed members in

order to estimate a reliable velocity dispersion

In Fig. 2, we show the virial mass distribution

for the SDSS sample with the mean values for the high-z clusters and groups marked on

Fig 2. Virial mass distribution for the SDSS

sample (histogram) compared with the CL1604 ranges from clusters (light shaded)

(σ) for the clusters

and groups (dark shaded)

Both groups and clusters in the CL1604 supercluster have an increase in their stellar mass content in the first R~0.2 R_{vir} that is almost twice that of the SDSS clusters. The results are nearly identical if we still consider the MMCG as the center. This strongly supports a scenario in which multiple mergers have taken place in the BCG/MMCG since z~0.9.

a, > 800.0 km

Fig 4. Stellar mass cumulative distribution a function of radius (centered on the BCG) for

the SDSS sample (bottom left panel, groups, bottom right, clusters) and for CL1604 sample (top panel). Blue line in the SDSS sample is the median value and shaded region is the sample variance at each radius.

Comparing BCG/MMCG structural parameters over cosmic time can, in conjunction with stellar mass evolution, constrain evolutionary scenarios, differentiating scenarios involving solely merging from those involving solely adiabatic expansion (Hopkins et al. 2010, see also Ascaso et al. 2011)

We fit the two dimensional surface brightness (SB) profiles of all the BCGs/MMCGs in the samples with one (Sérsic) and two components (Sérsic+Exponential and Sérsic+Sérsic) by using Galfit (Peng et al. 2010). In Fig. 4, we show the Sérsic fits for one of the BCGs in both samples

We will extract structural parameters from these fits will provide measurements of the size, concentration, envelope to total light ratio evolution, as well as to quantify the differences between the morphologies)

+Sérsic fits have been made $\frac{M_{HCO}}{M_{min}} = \frac{60.56}{1.0} \times \frac{1}{10}$

Sérsic surface brightness fit for one of the BCGs in the CL1604 sample (top) and the SDSS sample (bottom). Additional Sérsic+Exp and Sérsic

POSSIBLE EVOLUTIONARY SCENARIOS

The whole observational analysis explained above, together with the stellar mass distribution analysis, will provide the empirical basis to constrain any evolutionary scenario

To do so, we will use the semi-analytical simulations by De Lucia & Blaizot (2007) to track the mass growth of the BCGs during the past 7 Gyrs and compare to our results. We will discuss the possible scenarios for these galaxies to form and evolve such as major merger, minor merger, adiabatic contraction or others. The results are still open-ended.



Fig 6. BCG merger tree of a semianalytical simulation by De Lucia & Blaizot 2007. Symbols are color-coded as a function of B-V color. Circles and triangles are used for galaxies that have and have not joined to the group respectively.

REFERENCES Ascaso et al. 2011, ApJ, 726, 69 Bernardi 2009, MNRAS, 395, 1491 De Lucia & Blaizot, 2007, MNRAS, 375, 2 Gal et al. 2008, ApJ, 684, 933 Hopkins et al. 2010, MNRAS, 401, 1099 Lemaux et al. 2012, ApJ, 745, 106 Nelson et al. 2002, ApJ, 657, 144

Peng et al. 2010, AJ. 139. 2097 Piffaretti et al. 2011, A&A, 534, 109 Vikram et al. 2009, MNRAS, 387, 1253



MORPHOLOGY EVOLUTION